## April 7, 2003

TO: Internal File

THRU: Daron R. Haddock, Permit Supervisor

FROM: James D. Smith, Senior Reclamation Specialist

RE: 2002 Third Quarter Water Monitoring, Energy West Mining Company, Deer

Creek Mine, C/015/018-WQ02-3

**1. Were data submitted for all of the MRP required sites?** YES [X] NO [ ] *Identify sites not monitored and reason why, if known*:

Flow at HCC01 is measured daily by Utah Power and reported by PacifiCorp in the Annual Report.

2. On what date does the MRP require a five-year resampling of baseline water data.

See Technical Directive 004 for baseline resampling requirements. Consider the five-year baseline resubmittal when responding to question one above. Indicate if the MRP does not have such a requirement.

## **Resampling Due Date**

Renewal submittal due 10/07/00, renewal due 2/07/01. Baseline analyses were performed in 1996 and 2001 and will be repeated every 5 years, i.e., next baseline analyses will be in 2006.

3. Were all required parameters reported for each site? YES  $[\ ]$  NO [X]

Comments, including identity of monitoring site:

RCF3: DO and oil and grease missing;

HCC02: DO and oil and grease missing;

HCC04: DO and oil and grease missing;

UPDES UT0023604-002 – <u>September</u>: field pH and field conductivity missing;

<b>4. Were irregularities found in the data?</b> Comments, including identity of monitoring site:	YES [X]	NO [ ]
HCC04: field pH (n = 88) was outside the two standar	d deviation rar	nge;
MAIN N MAIN E: total hardness (n = 38) was outside	e the two stand	ard deviation range
RCW4: field conductivity $(n = 75)$ was outside the two	o standard devi	iation range;
Sheba Spring: water temperature ( $n=33$ ) and lab pH were outside the two standard deviation range;	(n = 33;  not a )	required parameter
UPDES UT0023604-001 - $\underline{\text{July}}$ : field pH (n = 141) wadeviation range;	as outside the t	wo standard
UPDES UT0023604-002 - $\underline{\text{July}}$ : field pH (n = 165) wadeviation range;	as outside the t	wo standard
DCP-1: elevation has been reported as depth.		
5. Were DMR forms submitted for all required sites?		YES [X] NO [
Identify sites and months not monitored:	2 <sup>nd</sup> month, 3 <sup>rd</sup> month,	
6. Were all required DMR parameters reported?  Comments, including identity of monitoring site:	YES [X]	NO [ ]
7. Were irregularities found in the DMR data?  Comments, including identity of monitoring site:	YES[]	NO [X]

## 8. Based on your review, what further actions, if any, do you recommend?

The Permittee needs to check the calibration of the pH meter;

Page 3 C/015/018-WQ02-3 April 7, 2003

The Permittee needs to provide:

- DO and oil and grease values for HCC02, HCC04, and RCF3; field pH and
- field conductivity for UPDES UT0023604-002 for September;

Water elevation at DCP-1 needs to be entered as "water elevation", not "depth";

DMR TDS Quarter Average, a parameter required for UT0023604-002, needs to be added to the APPX database.

Numerous values were outside the two standard deviation range. None of the values are extreme. Recommended action is to watch for trends.

 $O:\015018.DER\Water\ Quality\jds.WQ\_02-3.doc$